8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	KATHLEEN BLISS, ESQ.
	Nevada Bar No. 7606
2	kb@kathleenblisslaw.com
	JASON HICKS, ESQ.
3	Nevada Bar No. 13149
	jh@kathleenblisslaw.com
4	KATHLEEN BLISS LAW PLLC
	1070 W. Horizon Ridge Pkwy., Suite 202
5	Henderson, NV 89012
	,
6	Attorneys for Aquail Harris

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO.: 2:17-CR-00160-JAD-VCF Plaintiff; STIPULATION AND PROPOSED VS. ORDER TO CONTINUE SENTENCING **AQUAIL JAMAR HARRIS** (First Request) Defendant.

Aquail Harris, by and through his counsel of record, Kathleen Bliss, Esq., of the law firm Kathleen Bliss Law PLLC; and the United States of America, by and through Assistant United States Attorney Phillip Smith, and Lisa Gartier-Giroux hereby stipulate and request that the Court vacate Mr. Harris's sentencing hearing currently set for February 26, 2018 and continue it to a later date. This stipulation is made and based upon the following:

- 1. On November 15, 2017, Mr. Harris entered a plea of guilty to the Indictment charging him with, Interference with Commerce by Robbery, in violation 18 U.S.C. §§ 1951, Use of a Firearm During and in Relation to a Crime of Violence in violation 18 U.S.C §§ 924(c)(1)(A) and Conspiracy to Interfere with Commerce by Robbery, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951.
- 2. Mr. Harris is in custody pending sentencing, but he does not object to this continuance.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.	The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R.
	Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time
	limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing
	does not implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United
	States Constitution. See Betterman v. Montana, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 1617-18 (2016).

- 4. This is the first request for a continuance of the sentencing. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 5. Denial of this request for a continuance would deny counsel for Mr. Harris sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account due diligence. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 6. Furthermore, Counsel for Mr. Harris will be in trial in *United States v. Williamson* 2:15-cr-00127, trial is anticipated to last five to seven days. Counsel will be in Pennsylvania for trial in the case of Commonwealth v. William H. Cosby, Jr., CP-46-CR-3932-2016 from March 12th through the middle of April.

Dated this 15th day of February 2018.

/s/ Phillip Smith PHILLIP SMITH Counsel for the United States

Kathleen Bliss_ KATHLEEN BLISS Counsel for Aquail Harris

/s/ Lisa Gartier-Giroux LISA GARTIER_GIROUX Counsel for the United States KATHLEEN BLISS LAW PLLC 1070 W. HORIZON RIDGE PKWY., SUITE 202

HENDERSON, NEVADA 89012 TEL: 702.463.9074

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that Mr. Harris's sentencing hearing currently set for February 26, 2018, be, and the same hereby is, VACATED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sentencing hearing is reset for April 30, 2018 at the hour of 11:00 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 2/15/2018

HONORABLE JENNIFER A. DORSEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE